HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GODA RAGHURAM

W.P.NO. 18005 OF 2006

DATED: 30.8.2006

Between:
Sankuri Yadaiah

… Petitioner

and

The Commissioner of Endowments and another

… Respondents

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GODA RAGHURAM

W.P.NO.18005 OF 2006

ORAL ORDER:

The writ petition is misconceived.

The petitioner claims to be a Founder Trustee of Sri Padmavathi Venkateshwara Swamy Temple, Kushaiguda, Ranga Reddy District. It appears that some of his colleague trustees alleged certain misconduct by him in the performance of the functions of the office he claims to be holding i.e. as a Founder Trustee of the temple. For responding to such allegations made by his colleagues, he petitioned the 2nd respondent for furnishing certified copies of certain documents. Such representations were made on 16.8.2006, 18.8.2006 and 23.8.2006. As the documents requested by the petitioner have not been furnished, the writ petition is filed.

It is neither pleaded, urged nor demonstrated by the petitioner that an inquiry is initiated against the petitioner under Section 28 of the A.P.

Charitable and Hindu Religious Institutions and Endowments Act, 1987, for his removal from the office of a Trustee, which he claims to hold. Merely because some persons have made allegations and the petitioner seeks documents to answer such private allegations and such representations for supply of documents have not been responded to by the 2nd respondent, a writ petition would not lie. If the petitioner seeks any documents from the 2nd respondent under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (for short 'the Act') the petitioner is at liberty to initiate appropriate processes for requesting for such information as ought to be supplied/furnished under the said Act.

The writ petition is misconceived and is accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs.

GODA RAGHURAM, J

Date: 30.8.2006
cvm